

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/977,124	10/12/2001	Chee-Yee Chung	884.538US1	3114
21186	7590 04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			DINH, TUAN T	
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO	138 LIS, MN 55402	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
	,		2841	-
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				XV			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- A			
Office Action Summany		09/977,124	CHUNG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tuan T. Dinh	2841				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication in period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory performs of the period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the month adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi viriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	ation.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 November 2004					
2a)□		This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-26 is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
- 8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	теction is required if the drawing	y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	•			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the International But	oriority documents have beer					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.				
	•						
	w. >						
Attachmen		- 1 .					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	/08) 5) ☐ Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Specie I (claims 1-22, figure 3) in the reply filed on 11/24/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the process as claimed is not an obvious process of making the product and Specie II-V also read on claims 1-22. This is not found persuasive because (a) Group II of claims 23-26 recited a method fabricating a circuit having a step of "screening the resistive element onto the layer of the circuit board." However, the method can be made in vary by different process such as adhesive or solder the resistive element onto the circuit board. (b) Species I-V have different embodiment as described in a specification, pages 3-4; therefore they are distinct patentably inventions.

Because the application still contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct of the claimed inventions as shown below:

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a resistive element, classified in class 338, subclass 306.
 - II. Claims 12-22, drawn to a circuit board and a circuit package, classified in class 361, subclass 766.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination has separate utility such as a control board or a control circuit package. The subcombination has separate utility such as a positive therasac (PTC) or a joystick controller.

- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Ann M. McCrackin on 04/01/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Dinh April 01, 2005.